1	INITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA		
2	CASE NO. 23-CR-20454-JB		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Miami, Florida		
4	February 18, 2025		
5	vs. 2:48 p.m 3:12 p.m.		
6			
7	CARL ALAN ZAGLIN, Volume 1 of 1 ALDO NESTOR MARCHENA, and FRANCISCO ROBERTO COSENZA CENTENO,		
8 9	Defendants. Pages 1 to 19		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM STATUS CONFERENCE		
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE BECERRA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	FOR THE COMERNMENT.		
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: ELI RUBIN Department of Justice		
15	99 NE 4th Street Miami, FL 33132		
16	PETER L. COOCH		
17	SHALIN NOHRIA Department of Justice		
18	1400 New York Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 2	FOR DEFENDANT ZAGLIN:	CRAIG A. GILLEN ANTHONY LAKE Gillen & Lake LLC
3		400 Galleria Parkway Suite 1920 Atlanta, GA 30339
4		ROBERT MICHAEL PEREZ
5		3162 Commodore Plaza Suite 3E
6		Coconut Plaza, FL 33133
7	FOR DEFENDANT MARCHENA:	JOSE O. CASTANEDA, JR. PO Box 670008
8		Coral Springs, FL 33067
9	FOR DEFENDANT CENTENO:	JENNY WILSON 40 NW Third Street
10		Suite Penthouse One Miami, FL 33128
11		a, 12 33123
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:	
21	VERNITA ALLEN-WILLIAMS, RPR,	RMR. FCRR
22	Official Court Reporter to: The Honorable Jacqueline Bece	
23	United States District Court Southern District of Florida	
24	400 North Miami Avenue Miami, Florida 33128	
25	Vernita_Allen-Williams@flsd.u	uscourts.gov

1 (Call to the Order of the Court at 2:48 p.m.) 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Case No. 23-CR-20454-Becerra, 02:48PM 3 United States of America vs. Carl Alan Zaglin, Aldo Nestor 02:48PM 4 Marchena, and Francisco Roberto Cosenza Centeno. 02:48PM Counsel, please state your appearances for the record 5 02:48PM 6 starting with the government. 02:49PM 7 MR. RUBIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eli Rubin, Peter 02:49PM 8 Cooch, and Shalin Nohria on behalf of the United States of 02:49PM 9 America. 02:49PM 10 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: For Mr. Zaglin. 02:49PM MR. GILLEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Craig Gillen 11 02:49PM 12 and Anthony Lake, counsel for Mr. Centeno here. And Mr. Zaglin is 02:49PM 13 also present in the conference room. 02:49PM 14 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mr. Marchena. 02:49PM MR. CASTANEDA: Good afternoon, Judge. Attorney Jose 15 02:49PM 16 Castaneda for Mr. Aldo Marchena. 02:49PM 17 MS. WILSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 02:49PM 18 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: For Mr. Centeno. 02:49PM 19 MS. WILSON: Sorry, Donna. Jenny Wilson on behalf of Mr. 02:49PM Cosenza. 20 02:49PM 21 THE COURT: Good afternoon. I called you in here for a 02:49PM 22 status conference or just a brief conference because we're set for 02:50PM 23 trial in April, and I saw that the assessment is that it's a 02:50PM 24 three-week trial. I had plans to be out of the district the week 02:50PM 25 of the 21st. I suspect some jurors might have the same issue, 02:50PM

1 02:50PM 2 02:50PM 3 02:50PM 4 02:50PM 5 02:50PM 6 02:50PM 7 02:50PM 8 02:50PM 9 02:50PM 10 02:50PM 11 Let me hear from you, Mr. Rubin. 02:50PM 12 MR. RUBIN: 02:51PM 13 02:51PM 14 02:51PM 15 02:51PM 16 02:51PM 17 02:51PM 18 review. 02:51PM 19 02:51PM 20 02:51PM 21 but we would oppose a longer continuance. 02:51PM 22 02:51PM 23 What background is that? 02:51PM 24 MR. GILLEN: Mr. Gillen for Mr. Zaglin. 02:51PM 25 02:52PM

since that's one of the spring break weeks for Miami-Dade County. If I have to cancel it, I'll cancel; but before canceling my daughter's spring break, I thought I would ask you all: Are we really going in April? And is it really three weeks? If we're really going in April, I might be inclined to start it a little bit earlier, if we can. If not and we really have to go in April, I don't expect the defendants to waive their speedys to accommodate me. I just wanted to kind of get a sense from the government and from each defendant: Are we really going to trial? And how long do we think it's going to be? Thank you, Your Honor. We are currently

proceeding on two tracks; one preparing for April 7th trial; and, two, we are complying with the President's recent executive order concerning FCPA investigations and enforcement actions, which requires the Attorney General to review all current enforcement actions, and we are actively facilitating and ensuring that

We are not moving for a continuance. We understand defense counsel will. We would not oppose a brief continuance,

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from you, Mr. Gillen.

And I apologize when I announced that I am here with

02:52PM	1	Mr. Lake, I forgot to mention that Mr. Perez is on the call as
02:52PM	2	well. So Robert Perez also for Mr. Zaglin. This is our situation
02:52PM	3	
02:52PM	4	THE COURT: I'm just curious as to what background you're
02:52PM	5	using on your Zoom. What is that.
02:52PM	6	MR. GILLEN: I'm using the background that Mr. Lake put
02:52PM	7	on his computer. The first time I ever saw it, Your Honor, was
02:52PM	8	when we turned it on and I saw myself with that background.
02:52PM	9	THE COURT: It's interesting.
02:52PM	10	MR. LAKE: It's Trinity College Library in Dublin,
02:52PM	11	Ireland.
02:52PM	12	MR. GILLEN: It's much more attractive than the
02:52PM	13	background in our conference room in Atlanta.
02:52PM	14	THE COURT: Yes, I suspect that it's definitely not
02:52PM	15	Atlanta, but that's all right.
02:52PM	16	Let me hear from you, sir.
02:52PM	17	MR. GILLEN: This is our position, Your Honor, and we did
02:52PM	18	speak with the government's counsel yesterday about this. We,
02:52PM	19	having read the President's executive order dated February
02:53PM	20	the 10th, 2025, we believe that we are in the strike zone of the
02:53PM	21	area in which the President has directed that the Attorney General
02:53PM	22	review these matters. And we believe that the executive order,
02:53PM	23	the President is saying that the attorney general should conduct
02:53PM	24	that review within 180 days.
02:53PM	25	And what we had discussed with government's counsel

1 02:53PM 2 02:53PM 3 02:53PM 4 02:53PM 5 02:53PM 6 02:53PM 7 02:53PM 8 02:53PM 9 02:54PM 10 02:54PM 11 02:54PM 12 02:54PM 13 02:54PM 14 02:54PM 15 02:54PM 16 02:54PM 17 02:54PM 18 02:54PM 19 02:54PM 20 02:55PM 21 02:55PM 22 02:55PM

23

24

25

02:55PM

02:55PM

02:55PM

yesterday, and they are not, you know, we agree to disagree, everything is in flux as it relates to this, but our position is that we are within the strike zone of this executive order. The case has not begun trial yet. This is exactly what the President wishes for the Attorney General to review, and we welcome that review.

But we have and what our position will be with the Department of Justice at whatever level we can have our voice heard, will be that we believe that there should be a motion to dismiss without prejudice allowing the Attorney General the 180 days to review the matter. That way -- excuse me -- that way we don't have a problem with the speedy trial issues, we don't have a problem with the Court's vacation issue for spring break, so it's all in flux.

I will say as relates to a brief continuance regarding the trial, we don't have a problem with that. But we do think that right now that we should know something, I would imagine, within DOJ within the next -- hopefully within the next week or two about how DOJ perceives pending FCPA cases that are not in the middle of a trial like our case, and so that's where we stand.

We think hopefully that once DOJ looks at this, there will be a pause. We think it should not be a motion to stay; it should be a motion to dismiss without prejudice, allowing the Attorney General to do the review the President requests. So that's where we stand on issue one, the executive order.

1 But issue two, we have no problem whatsoever with a brief 02:55PM 2 continuance of the trial date to facilitate and accommodate the 02:55PM 3 Court and potential jurors for the spring break issues. 02:55PM 4 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from -- is it you, 02:55PM Mr. Castaneda who is going to speak for your client? You're on 5 02:55PM 6 mute. You hit the button twice. 02:55PM 7 MR. CASTANEDA: Sorry. Technical difficulties. 02:56PM 8 THE COURT: No problem. 02:56PM 9 MR. CASTANEDA: I did speak with cocounsel and Mr. Gillen 02:56PM 10 and attorney Wilson. I never had a situation like this come up 02:56PM 11 during a litigation of a case, Your Honor. 02:56PM 12 So I spoke to my client, he is in custody, but he is 02:56PM 13 serving a sentence on something else, so we did previously waive 02:56PM 14 speedy trial, but I guess we'll go along with what counsel is 02:56PM 15 saying. We don't mind resetting the trial and seeing what the 02:56PM 16 government is going to do with this case. 02:56PM 17 I know Mr. Rubin and Mr. Cooch have been giving us 02:56PM 18 information; I just don't know what the status will be in this 02:56PM 19 case. 02:56PM THE COURT: Ms. Wilson. 20 02:56PM 21 MS. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. I agree with much of, if 02:56PM 22 not all of, what has been said today. The fact that this order 02:56PM 23 came out last week, it puts us in a bit of a strange situation 02:56PM 24 preparing for trial or, alternatively, moving forward on any kind 02:56PM 25 of resolution in light of the President's fairly clear orders. 02:57PM

1 02:57PM 2 02:57PM 3 02:57PM 4 02:57PM 5 02:57PM 6 02:57PM 7 02:57PM 8 02:57PM 9 02:57PM 10 02:57PM 11 02:57PM 12 02:57PM 13 02:57PM 14 02:57PM 15 02:57PM 16 02:57PM 17 02:58PM 18 02:58PM 19 02:58PM 20 02:58PM 21 02:58PM 22 02:58PM 23 02:58PM

24

25

02:58PM

02:58PM

So whether it's a stay or a continuance or the option that Mr. Gillen proposed, we will defer to the Court. But to the extent that we need to waive speedy in a limited fashion to get this resolved, we certainly would agree to do so.

THE COURT: What I am not hearing, and let me hear now from you, Mr. Rubin, the executive order, and I am going by memory now, was, and I think somebody mentioned, was 180 days.

Does the government have any sense of when that review in this case will be done because from my vantage point, I would suspect that the defense lawyers are taking one position now; if the Attorney General thinks this case needs to go forward, then they need to start getting ready for trial. It probably doesn't make a ton of sense to get ready for trial if they're trying to negotiate or if they're thinking that there's going to be a dismissal.

So I want to have some sense of what the government's timeline is for this.

MR. RUBIN: Yes, Your Honor.

The 180-day time period refers to the Attorney General's setting of new guidelines; it does not speak to the review of current enforcement actions.

We understand that the Attorney General has prioritized her review of indicted cases, and we are doing everything we can to expedite that review. We do not yet know when that review will be complete.

1 02:58PM 2 02:58PM 3 02:58PM 4 02:58PM 5 02:58PM 6 02:58PM 7 02:58PM 8 02:59PM 9 02:59PM 10 02:59PM 11 02:59PM 12 02:59PM 13 02:59PM 14 02:59PM 15 02:59PM 16 02:59PM 17 02:59PM 18 02:59PM 19 02:59PM 20 02:59PM 21 02:59PM 22 02:59PM 23 02:59PM 24 02:59PM 25 03:00PM

THE COURT: All right. So then in light of the fact that the government is representing that the Attorney General will be reviewing this matter, the Justice Department in Washington will be reviewing this matter, let me hear from each defendant as to whether or not they seek a continuance of the current trial date for that reason and for how long. I'm not inclined to stay a criminal case with defendants that are in custody; I am not inclined to do that.

So tell me if you seek a continuance for that reason because you are trying to figure out what's going to happen. Le me hear how much, because otherwise I have to have it on a trial calendar, and I'm not necessarily inclined to even move it from the calendar it's on now. I wanted to hear from you whether it was really going to go because I didn't want to hear two weeks before trial: Oh, now we need another two weeks.

If folks don't object to me just setting the trial the last week of April, I'll do that. If you need more time because of what Mr. Rubin has represented, then that's fine. But I need a date. If you're going to move to dismiss the indictment, that's a whole different thing; file your motion.

But I need to keep a trial date. So let me hear from you, Mr. Gillen. What say you on the trial date for this matter?

MR. GILLEN: Your Honor, right now what I would ask the Court to do is now to extend the trial date to the end of April. That's fine. But also to set within two or three weeks another

1 03:00PM 2 03:00PM 3 03:00PM 4 03:00PM 5 03:00PM 6 03:00PM 7 03:00PM 8 03:00PM 9 03:00PM 10 03:00PM 11 03:01PM 12 03:01PM 13 03:01PM 14 03:01PM 15 03:01PM 16 03:01PM 17 03:01PM 18 03:01PM 19 03:01PM 20 03:01PM 21 03:01PM 22 03:01PM 23 03:01PM 24 03:01PM

25

03:02PM

status conference to determine whether or not progress is being made at DOJ.

My understanding is that they really haven't sat down and pounded out guidelines or anything to determine whether we think, for example, that meet within the heartland of what the President wants, to essentially stop investigations and enforcements.

This isn't really -- when you look at what the executive order says, not only does it tell the Attorney General and mandate the Attorney General to inspect or examine existing investigations and enforcements, but the spirit of what President Trump was saying is also reflected in Section D of the executive order where he's actually saying there that: The Attorney General shall determine whether additional actions, including remedial measures, with respect to inappropriate past FCPA investigations and enforcement actions are warranted.

What does that mean? The last thing that anybody wants, I think, would be for a trial of this case to take place that would fall into what the Attorney General would find to be the heartland of cases that shouldn't go forward. Nobody wants that. I don't think the prosecutors want that. We certainly don't want that. We don't know yet.

But I think, Your Honor, that the Attorney General's office has got to be getting its act together on this, and one would imagine within the next two or three weeks. So I suggest that we set now a trial date for the end of April. The only --

1 and I have no problem with that. 03:02PM 2 And Mr. Zaglin is here. And do you agree with me, Mr. 03:02PM 3 Zaglin? 03:02PM 4 DEFENDANT ZAGLIN: Yes, sir. 03:02PM MR. GILLEN: He agrees with me that he doesn't have a 5 03:02PM 6 problem with that either. And then schedule a conference call in 03:02PM two or three weeks, or at the latest to have the government notify 7 03:02PM 8 the Court and counsel when they are getting instruction from the 03:02PM Attorney General's office about how they're going to proceed with 9 03:02PM 10 indicted cases that fall within the heartland. 03:02PM So I would say now move it to the end of April and set 11 03:02PM 12 another status conference for two or three weeks. In the interim 03:02PM if Peter or Eli hear additional information about how the Attorney 13 03:02PM 14 General's office and its decision will impact us, let us know, and 03:03PM 15 then we can have another status conference to revisit it. 03:03PM 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Castaneda. 03:03PM MR. CASTANEDA: Sorry, Judge. Yeah, I concur with Mr. 17 03:03PM I believe that's fine. I know my client is in custody, 18 03:03PM 19 Your Honor. I just wanted to make it clear that he is serving 03:03PM another sentence, so it's really not an issue as far as that, so. 20 03:03PM 21 But I think it is an issue for Ms. Wilson's THE COURT: 03:03PM 22 client because I believe Ms. Wilson's client was extradited. Is 03:03PM 23 that correct, Ms. Wilson? 03:03PM 24 MS. WILSON: He is, but he is a currently out on bond. 03:03PM 25 THE COURT: So what is your position with respect -- I'd 03:03PM

1 03:03PM 2 03:03PM 3 03:03PM 4 03:03PM 5 03:03PM 6 03:03PM 7 03:04PM 8 03:04PM 9 03:04PM 10 03:04PM 11 03:04PM 12 03:04PM 13 03:04PM 14 03:04PM 15 03:04PM 16 03:04PM 17 03:04PM 18 03:04PM 19 03:04PM 20 03:04PM 21 03:04PM 22 03:04PM 23 03:04PM

24

25

03:04PM

03:04PM

just move the trial to April 28th is all I would do.

MS. WILSON: Your Honor, we don't object to an April 28th date at this point, just noting that a lot of things could change in the next few weeks. And as this starts evolving, I don't -- perhaps we will be back before the Court, but it's really too early I think to say which way.

We certainly agree with everything that's been said, and we think that this does fall squarely within the President's order and we do believe it should be considered for dismissal; but at this point late April is still two months away, so we don't object right now to that remaining the trial date.

THE COURT: All right. Given the complexities of the case before the issue of the executive order, I am going to leave the calendar call as it is. So the calendar call will remain the same.

The first day of the trial is April 28th, and the government is ordered to file every two weeks, starting two weeks from today, a status with respect to its intentions to pursue the case. Mr. Rubin, if you have no information, you will have no information; but you'll still have to file something every two weeks.

I don't want to be in a position where the Attorney

General decides in late March that this case is not within the

heartland and that the government is going to continue to pursue

the case, and then all the defense lawyers tell me: Well, we

1 03:04PM 2 03:05PM 3 03:05PM 4 03:05PM 5 03:05PM 6 03:05PM 7 03:05PM 8 03:05PM 9 03:05PM 10 03:05PM 11 03:05PM 12 03:05PM 13 03:05PM 14 03:05PM 15 03:05PM 16 03:05PM 17 03:05PM 18 03:05PM 19 03:06PM 20 03:06PM 21 03:06PM 22 03:06PM 23 03:06PM 24 03:06PM

25

03:06PM

haven't been getting ready for trial. We need more time. Right? If you need more time to get ready for trial, I will give it to you, obviously, but I'm trying to avoid that happening. I'm trying to keep a trial date that is as orderly as possible.

And so I will require the government every two weeks in writing to submit the status with respect to its intentions to continue to pursue the case, Mr. Rubin. And I won't have status conferences. We will make the government do that in writing. I think that's more efficient, and I think that -- I obviously don't know what the Attorney General is doing or what pace they're going to be doing it or who is reviewing it. I have no way of knowing that, nor is it within my purview to know it at this time. Mr. Rubin can keep us advised.

That way if I am in a position where I have to clear that trial because there is not going to be a trial, there's other people waiting for trials; or if you're going to need more time, then I know I have to move it because it's a three-week trial that's going to take up a good bit of time on my calendar. I start a case in September that I'm going to be in trial in for about three months, so I'm trying to juggle that to make sure that I can try what I need to try before I disappear into that case.

Anything else on behalf of the United States then?

MR. RUBIN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Understood on the status updates.

One point is the defense didn't formally move to

1 03:06PM 2 03:06PM 3 03:06PM 4 03:06PM 5 03:06PM 6 03:06PM 7 03:06PM 8 03:06PM 9 03:06PM 10 03:07PM 11 03:07PM 12 03:07PM 13 03:07PM 14 03:07PM 15 03:07PM 16 03:07PM 17 03:07PM 18 03:07PM 19 03:07PM 20 03:07PM 21 03:07PM 22 03:07PM 23 03:07PM 24 03:07PM

25

03:07PM

continue, so I am not sure that the speedy trial waiver was triggered. I don't know if you want to make a finding on the record or ask them to formally waive their rights under the speedy trial.

And then second, is it worth visiting at this point in time a scheduling order? We had previously submitted one with the agreement of Mr. Zaglin. I don't want to belabor it if it's too complicated now, so I just raise that for your consideration.

THE COURT: No. And that scheduling order is what prompted me to have this hearing. So with respect to the speedy, I am interpreting -- I obviously called the issue of moving the trial for the Court's docket. But what I heard as we went through each defendant is that each defendant was seeking additional time to sort out these issues with the Department of Justice.

I think they might have wanted more time than I've given them, but I do find that the Speedy Act would be tolled for the time period at least until the 28th, and I do so based on the representations made by counsel and in the interests of justice.

It is my practice to ask the defense lawyers to submit a written waiver up until the 28th. I think one or two of you might have orally stated that there was a waiver. I know Mr. Gillen orally said his client agreed. Mr. Castaneda said he didn't think his client would disagree because he was already in custody. I think Ms. Wilson said that she didn't see an issue with the speedy, but I will ask each lawyer to submit the speedy waiver up

1 03:07PM 2 03:08PM 3 03:08PM 4 03:08PM 5 03:08PM 6 03:08PM 7 03:08PM 8 03:08PM 9 03:08PM 10 03:08PM 11 03:08PM 12 03:08PM 13 03:08PM 14 03:08PM 15 03:08PM 16 03:08PM 17 03:08PM 18 03:08PM 19 03:08PM 20 03:08PM 21 03:08PM 22 03:08PM 23 03:08PM 24 03:09PM 25 03:09PM

until the 28th.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Signed by the defendant, Judge?

THE COURT: Signed by the defendant, correct. That's what my order requires; that they each be signed by the defendant. I will give you a week to get that.

Mr. Castaneda, if you need more time because your client is in custody, I will give you an additional week to do so.

MR. CASTANEDA: Thank you. I had filed a written waiver previously. Do you want me to file another one, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I think the written waiver that you had previously didn't have a date is the problem.

MR. CASTANEDA: Okay.

THE COURT: So I like for them to have a date so that I think the record is most clear that way, so do it with respect to a date.

with respect to the scheduling order, I am hesitant to enter it at this time. Mr. Rubin, let's see where you are two weeks from now. If two weeks from now it seems like it is a case that's going forward, then you will resubmit a scheduling order because I've moved the trial back two weeks already, so some of those dates might change. I will work with you on the dates.

I am going to work with you on the scheduling order. I think it is a good practice to have a scheduling order on a case of this complexity, so submit it; but I am not going to enter the one that you just proposed now because, A, we have moved the case

03:09PM	1	a couple of weeks; and, B, it looks like things are sufficiently
03:09PM	2	in flux that those dates are going to be probably reconsidered.
03:09PM	3	MR. RUBIN: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.
03:09PM	4	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gillen, anything else on
03:09PM	5	behalf of your client?
03:09PM	6	MR. GILLEN: No, Your Honor. I was having difficulty
03:09PM	7	hearing what the Court stated.
03:09PM	8	Our new trial date would be what date?
03:09PM	9	THE COURT: The 28th of April.
03:09PM	10	MR. GILLEN: April the 28th, yes, Your Honor. Nothing
03:09PM	11	other than if we hear back, I would state that the next major date
03:09PM	12	on the scheduling order is March the 4th preliminary exhibit and
03:09PM	13	witness list.
03:09PM	14	THE COURT: So I am not entering that scheduling order.
03:09PM	15	We're going to wait to see what happens in the next two weeks in
03:09PM	16	the first instance. So your calendar call is still I think it
03:09PM	17	was April 2nd. Donna?
03:10PM	18	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: April 1st.
03:10PM	19	THE COURT: April 1st. Trial April 28th the standard
03:10PM	20	order that you now have has some dates you work backward from. I
03:10PM	21	know the parties want a different scheduling order; I am not going
03:10PM	22	to enter that one now. We will see where we are two weeks from
03:10PM	23	now and whether or not you come up with something different given
03:10PM	24	the change. All right?
03:10PM	25	MR. GILLEN: I would just simply say this, and I

appreciate the Court's flexibility. And we do appreciate the cooperation that we have had with the prosecutor regarding the scheduling order.

The voluminous nature of the exhibits in this case is

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

03:10PM

03:10PM

03:10PM

03:10PM

03:11PM

The voluminous nature of the exhibits in this case is such that we found that both in our respective interests to set out these fairly early discovery for exhibit lists and witness lists, and so we are going to be moving forward to try to get ours in pretty good shape. I know that the prosecutors have been working with us, and we agree that we want to have this in a situation for the Court and for the jury where the trial will go as smoothly as possible.

So I just would indicate that when we hear back from the government that we would like something that will give us some assurances about when we're going to be getting our exhibit lists, witness lists, and our responses and the motions in limine, which could be somewhat complex in this case.

THE COURT: Right. I think that's exactly what I said. So I am not going to enter the one that's proposed now because both the case appears to be in flux and the trial has moved three weeks. So in the next status report, which Mr. Rubin will submit two weeks from now, depending on where you are two weeks from now, you may request that I enter a scheduling order; and if it's a joint one, I will enter it. I suspect that the dates will move a little bit given that the trial right now has already moved three weeks. All right?

03:11PM	1	MR. GILLEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
03:12PM	2	THE COURT: Mr. Castaneda?
03:12PM	3	MR. CASTANEDA: Judge, would the calendar be on Zoom or
03:12PM	4	would that be in person?
03:12PM	5	THE COURT: No, in person. I only did this by Zoom now
03:12PM	6	because I know Mr. Gillen is in Atlanta and I thought it was a
03:12PM	7	fairly routine status and I didn't want to have him fly down just
03:12PM	8	for that, but you all will have to come for the calendar call.
03:12PM	9	MR. CASTANEDA: Thank you, Judge.
03:12PM	10	THE COURT: Ms. Wilson?
03:12PM	11	MR. GILLEN: Thank you, Your Honor, for accommodating us
03:12PM	12	here in Atlanta. We appreciate that.
03:12PM	13	THE COURT: Sure. Ms. Wilson?
03:12PM	14	MS. WILSON: Nothing on behalf of Mr. Cosenza. Thank
03:12PM	15	you, Your Honor.
03:12PM	16	THE COURT: Thank you very much. We're in recess.
03:12PM	17	MR. RUBIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
03:12PM	18	MR. CASTANEDA: Take care, everyone.
03:12PM	19	(Recess at 3:12 p.m.)
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate transcription of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 2/27/25 /s/Vernita Allen-Williams DATE: VERNITA ALLEN-WILLIAMS, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter United States District Court Southern District of Florida 400 North Miami Avenue Miami, Florida 33128 (305) 523-5737